

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/006,051	KEATING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anand Bhatnagar	2624	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Anand Bhatnagar.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Edward Tracy.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07/21/06

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14, 15, 17, 28, and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

No

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed these claims with applicant's representative because they were nonstatutory as claimed. Applicant's representative gave authorization to the examiner, by examiner's amendment, to cancel claims 17 and 29 and amend claims 14, 15, and 28 by adding in "stored on a computer readable medium" into these claims to make them statutory.